## SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS MEASURE B BOND PROGRAM LEASE-LEASEBACK REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS REVIEW AND SCORING MATRIX

Scorer: Date:

Reviewers: Read each Statement of Qualifications, evaluate each firm/team in accordance with the Best Value Criteria. Input a numerical score in each

## BEST VALUE EVALUATION SCORING CRITERIA FROM RFQ/P

area.												
FIRM	RESPONSIVE (Y/N)	PRICE POINTS	TECHNICAL EXPERTISE	COLLABORATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	PROJECT TEAM AND PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	SUBCONTRACTORS	SAFETY	SCHEDULE	STAFFING	CHPS		
FIRIVI	RESPONSIVE (1/N)	PRICE POINTS	TECHNICAL EXPERTISE		Presentation of the specific team and	SUBCONTRACTORS	SAFEII	SCHEDULE	SIAFFING	CHF3		
					project understanding demonstrated in			Experience with				
				Past experience with alternative Project		Workforce & available		accelerated Project		Ability to meet/incorporate		PERCENT POINTS
TERRA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL	Submittal meets	Price and Price Points	Technical Expertise & relevant		Interview Maximum 25 points : Max. 5	skilled & qualified		Schedules & early	Management &	CHPS standards into	TOTAL POINTS	ASSIGNED OF
	format and standards		experience with like-projects		points Proposal, Max. 20 points scored		Safety record	Delivery Dates	Staffing approach	project Maximum 10	ASSIGNED BY	MAXIMUM 275
Transition of the	in RFQ/P	Maximum 100 points	Maximum 40 points	Maximum 30 points	at Interview	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 10 points	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 20 points	Points	REVIEWER	POINTS
Midstate Construction	Y	43	25	19.75	3.13	15	7.25	15	14.75	2.5		145.38
Flint Builders	Y	73	36	27	4.50	19	8.75	18.5	19.25	5		211.00
Fint Builders	T	/3	36	21	4.50	13	6.73	16.5	15.25	-		211.00
Wright Contracting	Y	70	32.75	26	4.25	18.25	8.75	17.25	16.25	8.75		202.25
0												
GCCI, Inc.	Y	48	30.75	21.5	2.88	17	7	14.25	15.75	3.75		160.88
												445.60
F&H Construction	Y	21	34	26	3.63	17.5	7.25	16	17.75	2.5		145.63
Swinerton	Y	60	36.5	28	4.63	19.25	9.75	16	18.5	3.75		196.38
Swinercon	· '	- 55	36.5									
C. Overaa	Y	52	36.25	28.25	4.13	19.75	9.5	19.5	19.25	7.5		196.13
BHM Construction	Y	87	32.25	21.5	3.63	18	7.5	16.25	17	3,75		206.88
Brivi Construction	<b>'</b>	67	32,23	21.3	3.03	10	7.5	10.23		5.75		200,00
Suasal Corporation	Y	86	29	22.25	2.88	17.75	6.25	14.25	16.5	1.25		196.13
Lathrop Construction	Y	70	33.5	28	4.50	19.5	9.25	17.25	13.75	7.5		203.25
XL Construction	Y	72	29.25	24	3.63	19	7.25	16.25	15.5	2.5		189.38
Blach Construction	Y	43	34.75	25.5	3.88	19.25	8.25	15	16	9.25		174.88
De dess Buildess	Y	59	28	23	3.38	18.75	8	14.25	15.25	3		172.63
Rodan Builders	1	29	28	23	5.56	10.73	0	14.23	15.25	-		172.03
Alten Construction	Y	65	36.25	28.25	4.63	19.25	9.25	18	19.25	6,25		206.13
												150.10
Landmark Construction	Y	51	33	24.75	3.38	19.25	7	15.5	16.5	1.75		172.13
Thompson Builders	Υ	50	32.5	21.75	3.63	18.75	8	14	16	3	. 8	167.63
	,	65	20.75	27	3,88	19.25	8.25	16.75	18.75	7.5		196.13
Cahill	Y	65	29.75	27	3.88	19.25	8.25	10./3	16.73	1.5		130.13

## SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS MEASURE B BOND PROGRAM LEASE-LEASEBACK REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS REVIEW AND SCORING MATRIX

Scorer: Date:

Reviewers: Read each Statement of Qualifications, evaluate each firm/team in accordance with the Best Value Criteria. Input a numerical score in each

## BEST VALUE EVALUATION SCORING CRITERIA FROM RFQ/P

area.												
					PROJECT TEAM AND PROJECT	CHRONITRACTORS	CAFETY	CCHEDINE	STAFFING	CHPS		
FIRM	RESPONSIVE (Y/N)	PRICE POINTS	TECHNICAL EXPERTISE	COLLABORATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	UNDERSTANDING Presentation of the specific team and	SUBCONTRACTORS	SAFETY	SCHEDULE	STAFFING	CHPS		
					project understanding demonstrated in			Experience with				
				Past experience with alternative Project		Workforce & available		accelerated Project		Ability to meet/incorporate		PERCENT POINTS
SAN RAFAEL HIGH SCHOOL	Submittal meets	Price and Price Points	Technical Expertise & relevant	Delivery methods and collaboration	Interview Maximum 25 points : Max. 5			Schedules & early	Management &	CHPS standards into	TOTAL POINTS	ASSIGNED OF
	format and standards		experience with like-projects	with design and owner teams.	points Proposal, Max. 20 points scored		Safety record	Delivery Dates	Staffing approach	project Maximum 10	ASSIGNED BY	MAXIMUM 275
			Maximum 40 points	Maximum 30 points	at Interview	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 10 points	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 20 points	Points	REVIEWER	POINTS
			-									
Midstate Construction	Υ	48	25	19.75	3.125	15	7.25	15	14.75	2.5		150.38
						40	0.75	40.5	10.25	-		216.00
Flint Builders	Y	78	36	27	4.5	19	8.75	18.5	19.25	5		216.00
Wright Contracting	v	78	32.75	26	4.25	18.25	8.75	17.25	16.25	8.75		210.25
wright Contracting	1	/8	32.73	20	7,23	13.23	5.75	27.23	20.25	5.75		
GCCI, Inc.	Υ	53	30.75	21.5	2.875	17	7	14.25	15.75	3.75		165.88
F&H Construction	Υ	24	34	26	3.625	17.5	7.25	16	17.75	2.5		148.63
					1.505	40.05	0.75	16	10.5	3.75		199.38
Swinerton	Υ	63	36.5	28	4.625	19.25	9.75	16	18.5	3./5		199.38
C. Overaa	v	61	36.25	28.25	4.125	19.75	9.5	19.5	19.25	7.5	-	205.13
C. Overda	'	01	30,23	EGIEG	11220	20.70	-					
BHM Construction	Y	90	32.25	21.5	3.625	18	7.5	16.25	17	3.75		209.88
Suasal Corporation	Y	91	29	22.25	2.875	17.75	6.25	14.25	16.5	1.25		201.13
					4.5	19.5	9.25	17.25	13.75	7.5		208.25
Lathrop Construction	Y	75	33.5	28	4.5	19.5	9.25	17.25	13./5	7.5		208.23
Blach Construction	v	50	34.75	25.5	3.875	19.25	8.25	15	16	9.25		181.88
Diacii constituction	'	30	5 1175	25.5								
Rodan Builders	Y	65	28	23	3.375	18.75	8	14.25	15.25	3		178.63
Alten Construction	Y	71	36.25	28.25	4.625	19.25	9.25	18	19.25	6.25		212.13
	<b>v</b> .	F-7	22	24.75	3.375	19.25	7	15.5	16.5	1.75		178.13
Landmark Construction	Y	57	33	24./5	3.3/3	19.25	'	15.5	10.5	1./3		1/0.13
Thompson Builders	Y	56	32.5	21.75	3.625	18.75	8	14	16	3		173.63
mompson bunders	'	- 50	52.5	22.75								
Cahill	Y	71	29.75	27	3.875	19.25	8.25	16.75	18.75	7.5		202.13